
Course: TEL 791 90522 - Seminar Department: Ed Leader

Responsible Faculty: Danah Henriksen Responses / Expected: 18 / 21 (85.71%)

3.8 Very relevant to Not at all (18 responses)
3.8 4-point Likert Scale w/out NA H-L (180 responses)
3.3 Very Difficult to Not Difficult (18 responses)



0			TEL	. 791 -	905	522		Perio	od Compari	sons
Super Instructor Evaluation Form C Course Relevance:	ı	Res	pons	es		Course	9		All	
	VR	R	NVR	NAA	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q1 Each course should contribute to the body of knowledge and skills needed to be a successful educator. How relevant was this course to your current or future professional development?	16	1	1	0	18	3.8	.50	11K	3.5	=

Responses: [VR] Very relevant=4 [R] Relevant=3 [NVR] Not very relevant=2 [NAA] Not at all relevant=1

This Course compared with others: [--] Much Lower, [-] Lower, [=] Similar, [+] Higher, [++] Much Higher

Q2 - Comments:

Response Rate: 27.78% (5 of 18)

- 1 This course provided an immense amount of professional development, which I will use within my career as an educator and one day as an administrator.
- The readings, activities, and discussions in this course all contributed to my current and future professional development. I had very little background on the subject of educational systems and systems change, so this course provided a great foundation for me in terms of relevant knowledge.
- 3 I thoroughly enjoyed this course and instructor.
- 4 Great course with much to offer.
- 5 A very relevant and engaging course.

0 TEL 791 - 90522 All Courses	1	2	2.6	3.3	4	TEL 791 -	90522									
Super Instructor Evaluation F	Form C							Res	TEL spons	. 791 - es	905	522 Cours	e	Peri	od Compar All	isons
							VI	D	NVD	NAA	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q3 Each course should requigoals/objectives of this		evel of intellect	tual deman	d. How diffi	cult was it	to achieve the	6	11	1	0	18	3.3	.56	11K	2.6	=

Responses: [VD] Very difficult=4 [D] Difficult=3 [NVD] Not very difficult=2 [NAA] Not at all difficult=1 ¹ This Course compared with others: [--] Much Lower, [-] Lower, [-] Similar, [+] Higher, [++] Much Higher

Q4 - Comments:

Response Rate: 38.89% (7 of 18)

- This was a difficult course. I would say as a stand alone course it would have been fine, however, working 2 classes at an extreme pace, with an abbreviated schedule, made it difficult
- This course definitely stretched my critical thinking. There were a few required articles that were challenging to read; not only did I have to gather the main message (there were often terms and words that were unfamiliar to me- my vocabulary certainly expanded!), but I had to discern its relevance to the course and to the program as a whole.
- 3 I though it was a lot of work for a 7 1/2 week course when we are all working full time.
- 4 I could have benefited from examples.
- 5 Course was as difficult as I thought it would be. A good introduction to the Ed.D. program and its expectations
- 6 Challenging for me but VERY interesting! Lots of instructor and peer support made it possible.
- 7 Appropriate but required members to think hard and get into some difficult concepts.

TEL 791 - 90522 All Courses)	1	2	3	3.7 3.3	G	TEL 791 - 90522 All Courses									
Super Instructor Evaluat	ion Form C								1	TEL 79	91 - 9	90522		Peri	od Compar	isons
Course Effort:	IOII FOIIII C							Re	spo	nses		Cours	е		All	
								SA	A	D SE	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q5 Each course should what extent do you a	require a reas gree the amo	onable amou unt of effort	ınt of effort you you exerted in tl	spend stud his course i	lying and co is worth wha	mpleting at you lea	assignments. To ned?	12	6	0 0	18	3.7	.47	11K	3.3	=
						Paenonea	s. [SA] Strongly Agree	<u>-</u> Δ=Λ	[Δ]	Δaree	=3 [I	n] Disa	nroo=	2 [CD] S	tronaly Dis	agree=1

Q6 - Comments:

Response Rate: 5.56% (1 of 18)

1 It took me a few weeks to discover how the work I was putting into each discussion and assignment was relevant to the overall goals of this program, but now that I've reached the end of the course I am confident that the work I put in is worth what I learned.

TEL 791 - 90522 All Courses		1	2	3 3.4	4	Graph Legend TEL 791 - 90522 All Courses									
Super Instructor Evaluat	ion Form C									91 - 9	90522		Per	iod Compar	isons
Course Productivity:							Res	po	nses		Course	9		All	
•							SA	A	D SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q7 Class meeting times	s (both online a	nd face-to-fac	e as described i	in the syllabus)	were produ	ıctively utilized.	11	6	1 0	18	3.6	.60	11K	3.4	=

Q8 - Comments:

Response Rate: No participants responded to this question. (0 of 18)

	0	1	2	3	4	Graph Legend									
Danah Henriksen				,	3.9	Danah Henrik	sen								
All Faculty					3.6	All Faculty									
Super Instructor Evaluation	on Form CM - F	inal Evaluation	18				Re	D:		_	iksen Individu	al	Per	iod Compari	isons
							SA	A D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q9 The instructor encou	rages students	to contact hin	n/her through	office visits,	phone calls, c	r e-mails.	17	1 0	0	18	3.9	.23	12K	3.6	=

q	Q10 - Comments regarding: Encourages contact with students.										
	Faculty:	Danah Henriksen									
R	esponse Rate:	22.22% (4 of 18)									
-	So supportiv	e and helpful.									
2		eciated the sincerity that came through the videos that she sent to us. I appreciated her asking us to call, email, Skype anytime! It has a calming feeling to it eable to talk to your instructor, even via email.									
3		en was always very helpful and regularly encouraged us to reach out to her whenever we had questions. She always made herself available, which was really ully online course.									
4	Danah was i this was the	ncredibly strong at encouraging me and communicating with me. All assignments were met with thoughtful feedback that informed the next lesson. Truly feel best online course I have taken because of Danah.									

0 1 2 3 4		Grap	h Leç	gend						
Danah Henriksen			Danal	n Heni	rikser	1				
All Faculty 3.6			All Fa	culty						
Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations		Doen	D	anah	Henri	ksen Individua	SI		Period Comparis	ons
General		resp	Ulise	.		IIIuiviuud			All	
	SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q11 The instructor encourages cooperation among students.	16	2	0	0	18	3.9	.31	12K	3.6	=

Q	12 - Comments	s regarding: Encourages cooperation among students.							
	Faculty:	Danah Henriksen							
R	esponse Rate:	16.67% (3 of 18)							
1	Several well	developed group projects.							
2	I would provi	I would provide more of an orientation to group work before expecting it to be implemented. Not everyone knew how to use Google docs so some sort of orientation to it would be helpful. I would allow for 2 weeks on the first group assignment to allow people to get coordinated.							
3	Her modules	s allowed us to work together and to create assignments that were asked of us.							

	0	1	2	3		4	G	rapl	ı Lege	nd					
Danah Henriksen					3.7	1		D	anah F	Henrik	sen				
All Faculty					3.5			А	II Facu	ılty					
Super Instructor Evaluati General	on Form CM - F	inal Evaluatio	ns			F	Resp		Danah s	Henril	ksen Individua	ı	1	Period Compariso	ons
						SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q13 The instructor uses	active learning	techniques.				14	3	1	0	18	3.7	.56	12K	3.5	=

Q14 - Comments r	egarding: Uses	active learn	ing techniques.

Faculty: Danah Henriksen

Response Rate: 5.56% (1 of 18)

1 I really appreciated that we had an opportunity to work in groups on several assignments.

	0	1	2	3	4		Grap	oh Lege	nd					
Danah Henriksen				3	3.8			Danah	Henrik	sen				
All Faculty				3.4				All Fac	ulty					
								Danah	Henrik	csen			Period Compariso	ns
Super Instructor Evaluati General	on Form CM - F	inal Evaluations	3		F	Resp	onse	es		Individual			All	
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
					J.,	1.	Ĺ				Dev			
Q15 The instructor gives	prompt feedba	nck.			14	4	0	0	18	3.8	.42	12K	3.4	=

Q16	Q16 - Comments regarding: Gives prompt feedback.									
Faculty: Danah Henriksen										
Res	ponse Rate:	22.22% (4 of 18)								
1	to ask if eve	or was always quick to grade our assignments. The more important aspect to Dr. Henriksen, was when she would send an email to check up on us individually. Or rything is ok as she didn't see an assignment, etc. This personal touch (for a school the size of ASU), is what ASU needs to have within their instructors. This was nodel that should be instilled in all teachers at ASU.								
2	Overall, Dr. H and that she	lenrisksen gave timely feedback. It would have been nice to receive it a little more quickly, but I understand that there were a number of students in our cohort was providing meaningful feedback to each of us. That takes time.								

- 3 Lots of feedback and very thoughtful detailed feedback.
- 4 Incredible level of feedback for all assignments, not just major assignments. Feedback was useful, thought provoking and encouraged additional engagement.

)	1	2	3	4		Grap	h Lege	end					
Danah Henriksen		<u> </u>			3.9			Danah	Henril	sen				
All Faculty				3.5				All Fac	ulty					
Super Instructor Evaluation	Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations					Resp		Danah es	Henril	sen Individua			Period Compariso	ns
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q17 The instructor gives	useful feedba	ack.			16	2	0	0	18	3.9	.31	12K	3.5	=

01	Q18 - Comments regarding: Gives useful feedback.										
Faculty: Danah Henriksen											
Re	sponse Rate:	27.78% (5 of 18)									
1	When we received feedback, it was great! It would have been nice to receive feedback on every assignment, but I understand that this is a doctoral program and that feedback is not always warranted.										
2	This is some	e of the best, most useful and specific feedback I have ever received.									
3	Quick, useful feedback was always given to us as students. The rubrics that were in place by the instructor were also useful.										
4	Lots of feedback and very thoughtful detailed feedback.										
5	As stated at	As stated above									

Danah Henriksen All Faculty	1	1	2	3 3.5	7			h Lege Danah I All Faci	Henrik	csen				
Super Instructor Evaluation For	Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations				F	Resp	onse	Danah es	Henri	ksen Individua	l .		Period Compariso	ns
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q19 The instructor emphasiz	zes time on	task.			13	4	1	0	18	3.7	.58	12K	3.5	=

Q20 - Comments	regarding: Emphasizes time on task.
Faculty:	Danah Henriksen
Response Rate:	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 18)

0 Danah Henriksen All Faculty	1	2	3 3.5	8	G	D	n Lege anah I	Henrik	sen				
Super Instructor Evaluation Form	Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations				lesp		Danah s	Henri	ksen Individua	I	1	Period Compariso	ons
				SA	Α	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q21 The instructor communica	tes high expectat	ions.		15	3	0	0	18	3.8	.37	12K	3.5	=

Q22	- Comments	s regarding: Communicates high expectations.
	Faculty:	Danah Henriksen
Resp	oonse Rate:	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 18)

0)	1	2	3		4	Graph Legend	
Danah Henriksen			_			3.9	Danah Henriks	en
All Faculty					3.5		All Faculty	

				Danah	Henri	ksen	Period Comparisons			
Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations General	R	espo	onse	es		Individua	I		All	
	SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q23 The instructor respects diverse talents and ways of learning.	16	2	0	0	18	3.9	.31	12K	3.5	=

Q24 - Comment	s regarding: Respects diverse talents and ways of learning.
Faculty:	Danah Henriksen
Response Rate:	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 18)

Re	sponse Rate:	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 18)								
Q2	Q25 - Overall Comments:									
Faculty: Danah Henriksen										
Re	sponse Rate:	50.00% (9 of 18)								
1		nique to this class - but there really should have been an orientation to Blackboard. Someone needs to work on the instructional design as it should not take 5-7 into the discussion area. The design is very inefficient. The side to side scrolling is unheard of!								
2	Thank you D	anah. You were truly a memorable professor. I hope our paths cross again soon.								
3	Overall, Dr. Henriksen was wonderful. The lessons I learned in this course provided a sound foundation for future work; I would recommend this instructor and course to every beginning EdD student!									
4	I enjoyed this class and have learned a lot, however, the information in Blackboard was often confusing. There would be three or more places a single assignment was housed in one module making it difficult sometimes to make sure you were getting all the pieces of the assignment completed.									
5	Dr. Henrikse	n is an excellent professor and I have really enjoyed her class.								
6	make about been helpful	n, is a great instructor. She is attentive to her students even when the course is offered online. I still felt that personal touch. The comment that I would like to the class is that at the end, if we would have had more time to work on the PoP paper and not have to add the systems change paper at the end this would have with the short time that we were given to work this semester. In addition, if we still had to have all the same work completed, have one dead week so we can on the PoP and the Systems change, and not worry about other assignments.								
7	Dr. Henriksen was always warm and inviting. She encouraged me through her comments, posts, and email messages. She always took the time to help me understand my assignments. This class was quite challenging due to the short time frame, but I feel it was worth the effort I put into it. I felt the course was well designed.									
8	Dr. Henrikse	n is an outstanding instructor. I really appreciated working with her, and receiving her guidance and feedback throughout this semester.								
9		e first classes taken for the Ed.D. program, I gained a strong connection to the program, course material, and also with the professor. This class was one of the ting classes I have taken throughout my years as a Sun Devil.								