

Q2 - Comments:

Response Rate: 11.76% (2 of 17)

The content in this course sets a solid foundational knowledge of systems understanding within an educational context. This foundation will be vital going forward into the action research and dissertation steps.

¹ This Course compared with others: [--] Much Lower, [-] Lower, [=] Similar, [+] Higher, [++] Much Higher

2 For one of my first classes in a doctoral program, I found this course engaging and valuable.

TEL 705 - 280 All Cours		1	2	3.1	4	TEL 705 - 280 All Courses	021									
Super Instructor Eval	luation Form	С						Res	TEL	705 - es		21 Cours	e	Peri	od Compai All	risons
							VD	D	NVD	NAA	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q3 Each course sho goals/objectives			el of intellectua	l demand. How diff	icult was i	t to achieve the	2	14	1	0	17	3.1	.42	11K	2.7	=
					Respon	ses: [VD] Very difficult	=4 [[)] Di	fficult=	3 [NV [D] N	ot verv o	difficul	t=2 [NA /	Notatall	difficult=1

	Responses. [VD] Very difficult=4 [D] Di	ilcuit-5 [IVV	D] NOT VERY UI	ilicuit-2 [IVA	ij Notataliullicuit-i	
•	¹ This Course compared with others: [] Much Lower,	[-] Lower,	[=] Similar,	[+] Higher,	[++] Much Higher	

Q4	- Comments									
Re	sponse Rate:	23.53% (4 of 17)								
1	This course had a nice balance of intellectually challenging activities as well as more response-based activities that were more providing our thoughts and responding to peers. I thought this approach did not make the course too overwhelming.									
2	I felt that this was an acceptable amount of rigor.									
3	Goals and objectives were lined out and easy to follow. Coursework load itself was challenging, but is expected of an abbreviated 7.5 week course. What I experienced is about what I expected of a doctoral level program.									
4	Getting use	to online school was an adjustment. I appreciate personalized feedback and gentle reminders with minimal deductions from total points as I learned the ropes.								

TEL 705 - 2 All Col		1	2	3	3.5 3.4	4	Graph Legend TEL 705 - 28021 All Courses									
Super Instructor E	Instructor Evaluation Form C e Effort:											28021 Cours	e	Peri	od Compai All	risons
								SA	A	D SI	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q5 Each course s	hould require a roor you agree the a	reasonable am amount of effor	ount of effort yo rt you exerted in	u spend stud this course i	ying and o	complet hat you	ing assignments. To learned?	8	9	0 0	17	3.5	.50	11K	3.4	=
						Poon	neae: [CA] Strongly Agree	0-4	[A]	\ aroo	-2 [I	nl Dico	aroo-	2 [en] 9	tronaly Di	nagraa-1

)	1	2	3		4	Gra	ph Legend										
TEL 705 - 28021				3	3.4			TEL 705 - 28021										
All Courses					3.5			All Courses										
Company In admiratory Free break	r Instructor Evaluation Form C										TEL	705	- 2802	1		Peri	iod Compai	risons
Course Productivity:	ion Form C								Responses Course					ırse			All	
,									SA	A	D S	D I	N Me	an∣	td ev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q7 Class meeting times	Class meeting times (both online and face-to-face as described in the syllabus) were productively utilized.								7	8	1	0 1	6 3	4 .	60	11K	3.5	=

Q8 - Comments: Response Rate: | 5.88% | (1 of 17) 1 | I enjoyed the weekly video and email updates as they provided valuable information and set the stage for the week ahead.

Danah Henriksen All Faculty)	1	2	3	3.8 3.6	Gra	ph Legend Danah Henrik All Faculty	sen								
Super Instructor Evaluatio	per Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations											iksen Individu	al	Per	iod Compar All	isons
								SA	A C	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q9 The instructor encour	The instructor encourages students to contact him/her through office visits, phone calls, or e-mails.								3 (0	17	3.8	.38	13K	3.6	=

Q1	0 - Comments	s regarding: Encourages contact with students.								
	Faculty:	Danah Henriksen								
Re	Response Rate: 11.76% (2 of 17)									
1	Very fast an	Very fast and thorough replied.								
2	I felt like Dr.	Henrikesen was very responsive to questions and the virtual office forum. They were also very willing to provide feedback and input regarding assignments.								

Danah Henriksen All Faculty		1	2	3	3.6 3.6	(anal	gend h Henr iculty	ikser					
Super Instructor Evaluation	Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations							D onse	anah s	Henri	ksen Individua	l	F	eriod Compariso	ons
Centeral						SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q11 The instructor encor	The instructor encourages cooperation among students.							1	0	17	3.6	.60	13K	3.6	=

Q12 - Comment	s regarding: Encourages cooperation among students.
Faculty:	Danah Henriksen
Response Rate:	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 17)

	0	1	2	3	4	G	rapl	h Leger	nd					
Danah Henriksen		•	•	3.6				anah F	Henrik	sen				
All Faculty				3.5			A	II Facu	ılty					
	per Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations							Danah	Henril	ksen		1	Period Compariso	ns
Super Instructor Evaluation	on Form CM - F	inal Evaluation	IS		F	Responses Individual							All	
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q13 The instructor uses	The instructor uses active learning techniques.						1	0	16	3.6	.61	12K	3.5	=

Q14 - Comments regarding: Uses active learning techniques.

Faculty: Danah Henriksen
Response Rate: 5.88% (1 of 17)

1 What is "Active Learning"? Wouldn't learning passively be better? It would save me so much time and effort!

)	1	2	3	4		Grap	h Lege	end					
Danah Henriksen			•	3.6				Danah	Henrik	sen				
All Faculty				3.5				All Fac	ulty					
Comes Instructor Freehoods	Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations							Danah	Henrik	csen			Period Compariso	ns
General	on Form Civi - F	inai Evaluations	•		F	Resp	onse	s		Individual	l		All	
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
					10						Dev			
Q15 The instructor gives	15 The instructor gives prompt feedback.						0	0	17	3.6	.49	12K	3.5	=

Q16 - Comments regarding: Gives prompt feedback.

Faculty: Danah Henriksen
Response Rate: 5.88% (1 of 17)

The feedback is always very detailed and helpful; It would be nice to get the feedback on assignments a little quicker, particularly when there are multiple assignments due in a series so that any feedback can be incorporated into subsequent assignments.

	3	4			h Lege									
Danah Henriksen				3.6				Danah	Henrik	sen				
All Faculty				3.5				All Fac	ulty					
Super Instructor Evaluati	Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations							Danah	Henrik	sen			Period Compariso	ns
General	OII I OIIII CIVI	i - i illai Eva	iluations		F	Resp	onse	s		Individual			All	
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q17 The instructor gives	The instructor gives useful feedback.						1	0	17	3.6	.59	12K	3.5	=

Q18 - Comments	s regarding: Gives useful feedback.
Faculty:	Danah Henriksen
Response Rate:	5.88% (1 of 17)
1 The feedbac	k is always helpful and thoughtful.

	0	1	2	3	4	- 0	Grap	h Lege	nd					
Danah Henriksen			•	3.6			[Danah	Henrik	sen				
All Faculty		ļ		3.5			,	All Fac	ulty					
					1							I		
Com an In admirat on Free locati	F OM F	inal Fualuati						Danah	Henril	csen			Period Compariso	ns
Super Instructor Evaluati General	on Form CM - F	inai Evaiuatio	ons		F	Responses Individual All								
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
					J.,						Dev			
Q19 The instructor emp	hasizes time on	task.			10	5	1	0	16	3.6	.61	12K	3.5	=

Q20 - Comments	Q20 - Comments regarding: Emphasizes time on task.									
Faculty:	Danah Henriksen									
Response Rate:	11.76% (2 of 17)									
1 I wish there	1 I wish there was an 'N/A' button. I'm not sure what you mean by 'emphasizes time on task.'									

2 I don't think this applies for an online course.

0 Danah Henriksen All Faculty	1	2	3.6	4 3.8	G	D	n Lege Panah I	Henrik	sen				
Super Instructor Evaluation For	m CM - Final Eva	luations		F	Resp		Danah s	Henri	ksen Individua	ı	1	Period Compariso	ons
				SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q21 The instructor communica	ates high expecta	ations.		13	4	0	0	17	3.8	.42	12K	3.6	=

Q22 - Comments	regarding: Communicates high expectations.

Faculty: Danah Henriksen

Response Rate: No participants responded to this question. (0 of 17)

	0	1	2	3 4	4	Grap	ph Le	gend						
Danah Henriksen				3.6			Dana	h Henr	ksen					
All Faculty				3.5			All F	aculty						
								Dana	n Henri	iksen		P	eriod Comparis	ons
Super Instructor Evaluation General	on Form CM - F	inai Evaluation	S			Re	espo	nses		Individua	ıl		All	
						SA	Α	D SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q23 The instructor respe	ects diverse tal	ents and ways	of learning.			11	5	1 0	17	3.6	.60	12K	3.5	=

Q24 - Comments regarding: Respects diverse talents and ways of learning.

Faculty: Danah Henriksen

Response Rate: 5.88% (1 of 17)


1 I appreciated that there was flexibility in assignments to allow for personal interpretation.

Q25 - Overall Comments:

Faculty: Danah Henriksen

Response Rate: 35.29% (6 of 17)

- 1 | I would have liked instructors to actually participate in the discussions. I think it would have lead to richer conversations and better understanding.
- 2 I was a little confused why the course this semester had two instructors when the only outward interactions I observed with course postings, virtual office responses, emails, and grading was with Dr. Henriksen.
- 3 I received excellent feedback from the instructors in the course. Instructors were very willing to be available and support their students. It was very evident that they care about what they are teaching and about their students' success.
- 4 I feel that these instructors were good and overall very helpful in my education.
- 5 | Dr. Henricksen is very approachable and helpful. I loved this class and I'm looking forward to taking additional courses with her.
- 6 At times the content was quite challenging but ultimately a worthwhile experience and introduction to the doctoral program!



Responses: [VR] Very relevant=4 [R] Relevant=3 [NVR] Not very relevant=2 [NAA] Not at all relevant=1 This Course compared with others: [--] Much Lower, [-] Lower, [=] Similar, [+] Higher, [++] Much Higher

Q2 - Comments:

Response Rate: $ig|_{\mbox{No participants responded to this question.}}$ (0 of 6)

	TEL 792 - 29856 All Courses)	1	2	2.7	3.7	TEL 792 - 2985 All Courses	6									
	er Instructor Evaluati	ion Form C							Res	TEL	. 792 - es	29	856 Cours		Peri	od Compai All	risons
000	ise billicuity.							VD	D	NVD	NAA	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
	Each course should goals/objectives of t			vel of intellectu	ıal demand. How	difficult was i	t to achieve the	4	2	0	0	6	3.7	.47	11K	2.7	+
						Respon	ses: [VD] Very difficult=4	[D]	Diff	icult=3	B [NVD] N	ot very o	difficul	t=2 [NA /	A] Not at all	difficult=1

Q4	- Comments:	
Res	sponse Rate:	16.67% (1 of 6)
1	Thinking thro	ough my PoP and which theories relate to it is difficult

 1 This Course compared with others: [--] Much Lower, [-] Lower, [=] Similar, [+] Higher, [++] Much Higher

	TEL 792 - 29856 All Courses		1	2	3	3.8	Gra	aph Legend TEL 792 - 29856 All Courses									
Super	Instructor Evalua	ion Form C							_			92 -	29856		Peri	od Compa	risons
Cours	e Effort:								ке	spo	nses		Cours	е		All	
									SA	A	D SI	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q5 E	ach course should hat extent do you	require a rea agree the am	sonable amount of effor	ount of effort yourt you exerted in	ı spend study this course is	ying and compl s worth what yo	leting a ou learr	ssignments. To ned?	5	1	0 0	6	3.8	.37	11K	3.4	=
						Res	ponses	: [SA] Strongly Agree	=4 [A] A	gree	=3 [I)] Disa	gree=	2 [SD] S	trongly Di	sagree=1
					¹ This Cou	ırse compared	with ot	hers: [] Much Lowe	r, [-] Lo	wer,	[=]	Similar	, [+]	Higher,	[++] Muc	h Higher

Q6 - Comments:
Response Rate: 16.67% (1 of 6)
Dr. Henriksen had clear expectations but she was also respectful of our time.

TEL 792 - 29856 All Courses		1	2	3	3.5	4 4.0	TEL 792 - 29856 All Courses									
Super Instructor Evaluat	ion Form C								Т	EL 79	2 - :	29856		Per	iod Compar	isons
Course Productivity:	1011 1 01111 0							Res	spo	nses		Course	е		All	
								SA	A	D SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q7 Class meeting times	s (both online	and face-to	-face as describ	oed in the sylla	ibus) we	ere produ	ictively utilized.	6	0	0 0	6	4.0	0	11K	3.5	=

Q8 - 0	Comments:
Resp	ponse Rate: 16.67% (1 of 6)
1 G	Google hangout sessions were great

Danah Henriksen All Faculty		1	2	3	3.6	4 4.0	Gra	ph Legend Danah Henriks All Faculty	en								
Super Instructor Evaluati	on Form CM - F	inal Evalua	tions						Re	Danah Responses			nriksen Individ	ıal	Period Comparisons All		
									SA	A	D S	D N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q9 The instructor encou	Q9 The instructor encourages students to contact him/her through office visits, phone calls, or e-mails.								6	0	0	0 (4.0	0	13K	3.6	=

01	Q10 - Comments regarding: Encourages contact with students.											
	Faculty: Danah Henriksen											
Re	Response Rate: 66.67% (4 of 6)											
1	Very receptive and quick to answer even the smallest questions											
2	Great at replies-quick and supportive											
3	Dr. Henriksen is extremely supportive and available to help!											
4	Always responds quickly and with lots of support. Seriously the best instructor I have ever had and well-suited for supporting doctoral students.											

Danah Henriksen All Faculty		1	2	3	4 4. 3.6		D	anah	jend n Henr culty	ikse	n				
Super Instructor Evaluati	Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations						Danah Her Responses			Henr	iksen Individua	al	Period Comparisons All		
						SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q11 The instructor enco	Q11 The instructor encourages cooperation among students.						0	0	0	6	4.0	0	13K	3.6	=

Q12 - Comments rec	garding: Encourages	cooperation among students.

- 1	12 - Comment	s regarding. Encourages cooperation annoing students.											
	Faculty:	nah Henriksen											
F	tesponse Rate:	33.33% (2 of 6)											
	1 It was nice t	It was nice to see and collaborate with my fellow classmates on Hangouts.											
	2 Facilitates t	Facilitates this well also.											

Danah Henriksen All Faculty		1	2	3.5	4.0	G	D	Lege r anah H II Facu	lenrik	sen				
Super Instructor Evaluation	on Form CM	- Final Eval	uations		F							Period Compariso All	•	
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q13 The instructor uses	Q13 The instructor uses active learning techniques.					0	0	0	6	4.0	0	12K	3.5	=

Q14 - Comme	Q14 - Comments regarding: Uses active learning techniques.											
Facult	y: Danah Henriksen											
Response Rat	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 6)											

Danah Henriksen All Faculty		1	2	3 3.5	4.0	G	C	h Leger Danah H	lenril	sen					
Super Instructor Evaluati	on Form CM -	Final Evalu	uations		F	Respo		Danah H es	Henri	ksen Individua	al		Period Compariso All	isons	
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹	
Q15 The instructor gives	Q15 The instructor gives prompt feedback.						0	0	6	4.0	0	12K	3.5	=	

Q16 - Comments	Q16 - Comments regarding: Gives prompt feedback.										
Faculty:	Faculty: Danah Henriksen										
Response Rate:	16.67% (1 of 6)										
1 Thorough an	Thorough and quick feedback										

	0	1	2	3	4	G	rapl	n Leger	nd					
Danah Henriksen					4.0		D	anah F	lenrik	sen				
All Faculty				3.5			А	II Facu	lty					
Super Instructor Evaluati General	Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations						D Onse	Danah I	Henril	ksen Individua	· ·			ns
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q17 The instructor gives	s useful feedbad	ck.			6	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	12K	3.5	=

Q	218 - Comments regarding: Gives useful feedback.									
	Faculty: Danah Henriksen									
R	esponse Rate:	33.33% (2 of 6)								
1	Very thorough and thoughtful feedback									
2	Goes above	Goes above and beyond with feedback, support, and resources.								

Danah Henriksen All Faculty		1	2	3 3.5	4.0	G	D	Legen anah H II Facu	lenrik	sen					
Super Instructor Evaluati General	Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations						Danah Henriksen Responses Ind					Period Comparisons dividual All			
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹	
Q19 The instructor emp	Q19 The instructor emphasizes time on task.					0	0	0	6	4.0	0	12K	3.5	=	

Q20 - Comments regarding: Emphasizes time on task.					
Faculty:	Danah Henriksen				
Response Rate:	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 6)				

0 Danah Henriksen All Faculty	1	2	3	3.6	4.0	Gı	Da	Legen anah H I Facul	enrik	sen				
Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations General				Danah Henrikse Responses In					ksen Individua	nl	Period Comparisons All			
					SA	A	D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q21 The instructor communicates high expectations.				6	0	0	0	6	4.0	0	12K	3.6	=	

Q22 -	Q22 - Comments regarding: Communicates high expectations.					
	Faculty:	Danah Henriksen				
Respo	nse Rate:	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 6)				

	0	1	2	3	4		Graph	ı Lege	nd						
Danah Henriksen		•	1	1	4	1.0	D	anah	Henriks	en					
All Faculty				3	.5		А	II Fac	ulty						
Super Instructor Evaluation Form CM - Final Evaluations General						Danah Her Responses			lenr	iksen Individu	al	Period Comparisons All			
							SA	A D	SD	N	Mean	Std Dev	N	Mean	-=+ ¹
Q23 The instructor resp	Q23 The instructor respects diverse talents and ways of learning.						6	0 0	0	6	4.0	0	12K	3.5	=

Q24 - Comments regarding: Respects diverse talents and ways of learning.						
Faculty:	Danah Henriksen					
Response Rate:	No participants responded to this question. (0 of 6)					

Re	Response Rate: No participants responded to this question. (0 of 6)									
Q:	Q25 - Overall Comments:									
	Faculty: Danah Henriksen									
Re	Response Rate: 66.67% (4 of 6)									
1	Dr. Henriksen is brilliant. She is an incredible instructor and a very encouraging mentor. I'm not sure that I would have the confidence to complete this program if she was not my dissertation chair.									
2	Dr. Henriksen is the best!									
3	Dr. Henriksen has a extremely helpful and gives clear and valuable feedback and support.									
4	Again, a phenomenal instructor and well-suited to leading emerging researchers through the doctoral process. Helps put me at ease when I am stressed out about the process and gives great guidance.									